GEOTECHNICS
BUILD ON CONFIDENCE

WHITEPAPER

GROUND INVESTIGATION AS A STRATEGIC ENABLER:

Turning Ground Risk into Design Confidence

Ground investigation is too often treated as just another technical requirement, something that's undertaken to satisfy planning, inform the foundation design or discharge a project requirement before engineering and construction starts on a project.

For complex developments, that view is increasingly outdated with ground investigation seen as far more than drilling boreholes and excavating trial pits to produce logs. Instead, properly scoped, interpreted and integrated into the design process, geotechnical and geoenvironmental site investigation services are a vital strategic project enabler.

Ground investigation reduces uncertainty. It protects programme confidence. It gives teams a clearer commercial view of what the ground means before risk turns into cost.

For engineering consultants especially, ground investigation really matters. The quality of ground information influences design reliability, optioneering, risk allocation, specification, procurement, construction methodology and long-term asset performance. Assumptions made during poorly scoped investigation or weak interpretation of data can lead to decades of asset management and site risk.

Consultants should expect ground investigation to help them design great projects by delivering reliable data and clear design insight. When investigation delivers incomplete data or confusing reports, consultants find themselves designing to assumptions rather than designing to evidence.

This can lead to poor initial designs, late redesigns, unnecessary increases in cost and time or missed risks played forward into construction and commissioning.

Geotechnics supports clients and design teams to turn complex ground data into clear, confident project decisions with commercial clarity and cost framing.

Engineering-led ground investigation matters. It should reduce both technical risk and commercial uncertainty to enable great, risk-free design with no confusion or uncertainty and no assumptions.

GEOTECHNICS
BUILD ON CONFIDENCE

Tel: 024 7669 4664 | mail@geotechnics.co.uk
www.geotechnics.co.uk
Coventry | Chester | Exeter | Leeds

It can be said that every development starts with some assumptions; plans, programme, cost and procurement. Assumptions about what's beneath the ground - soil, rock, groundwater, contamination, obstructions and buried structures, compression layers, ground gas, settlement, stability, permeability - will seriously impact every subsequent design decision if not tested early enough.

Layout may be fixed, foundations selected, tenders issued and allowances underestimated before the ground is understood. Geotechnical and geoenvironmental investigation helps inform these critical decisions earlier, meaning evidence-based decisions can be made before they become expensive to change.

Solid ground investigation should support:

- Better ground models
- More reliable geotechnical parameters
- Clearer understanding of geoenvironmental risks
- Improved foundation and earthwork selections
- Reduced design uncertainty
- Strong client, contractor and specialist collaboration.

Reliable ground data is absolutely necessary but is insufficient on its own. Clients need to know how the ground will behave under loading conditions, where risks are concentrated, how variable ground conditions may be, which parameters are appropriate for design and what uncertainties remain. Incomplete investigation scope or weak data interpretation rarely helps consultants to answer these questions.

This can be a problem. Investigations are procured too late in the design process, without connection to loading conditions or constructability questions. Too often boreholes are sunk without consideration of proposed structures or layouts. Laboratory tests don't support the required design parameters. Groundwater monitoring points are too few and contamination sampling fails to link with earthworks or material reuse.

At worst, investigation reports provide stacks of information that fail to explain what the data means for design. Poorly scoped investigations lead to designs built upon unfounded assumptions, insufficient or low-quality data causes unnecessary risk, or reports are simply full of data that fail to interpret the ground, explain risk or provide necessary design insight.

This design uncertainty means lower confidence, reduced programme clarity and commercial doubt about costs and allowances.

For consultants to design confidently, geotechnical and geoenvironmental site investigation should start with design decisions where this investigation turns data into insight and which leads to confident project design.

Approaching ground investigation from an engineering led perspective means clients should expect investigation designed around the decisions they need to make. Thinking firstly about design decisions, and not simply drilling, is especially important to produce information which is directly useful to a design team; proposed layout and loading, predicted foundation and earthwork options, known and suspected hazards, stage of design development, environmental constraints, required confidence levels, commercial implications of unknowns and how ground conditions will affect design, procurement and specification.

Understanding these items up front allows targeted ground investigation that's proportionate to project value. It also avoids two of the most common dilemmas for investigations: spending too little and missing critical risks or spending too much without improving decision confidence.

Delivering data simply isn't enough. Interpreting that data to create a clear ground model supports designers to make decisions with confidence and clarity.

Robust geotechnical and geoenvironmental site investigations should do more than collect data. That data should be used to produce a ground model that allows designers to see beyond individual data points, which visualises how ground conditions change across site and how those changes impact structures, earthworks, drainage, temporary works and even construction sequencing.

Great interpretation should allow engineering consultants to answer questions such as:

- Do we need deep foundations across the site or only in certain areas?
- Is differential settlement likely to be a problem? If so, where?
- Could piles be required? If so, what risks could impact pile design and installation?
- Can we reuse excavated material, or do we need to allow for treatment and or offsite disposal?
- What's the groundwater level and how will it impact excavations and or basement construction?
- Are chemical conditions severe enough to require enhanced specification concrete?
- Is there contamination and or ground gas present that could influence development layout or mitigation?
- What uncertainties do we need to design for, and which can we manage on site?

Ground risk isn't only technical; it has commercial consequences. Ambiguity in ground conditions often becomes ambiguity in cost. Every unknown ground condition has the potential to impact project commercials. It may influence quantities, design parameters, construction methodology, working days, temporary works, waste classification, imported material volumes, dewatering rates & programmes, monitoring costs or contractor risk allowances.

Ambiguous conditions can become allowances that are often either too high (adding unnecessary contingency to project costs) or too low (leaving projects exposed to risk).

Ground investigation that understands this dilemma can help connect technical recommendations to real cost implications on projects helping justify design decisions not only against technical requirements, but also cost, procurement and programme considerations.

Geotechnics supports engineering consultants who need reliable data, high-quality interpretation and strong collaboration from a ground investigation partner.

Ground investigation shouldn't be a technical after thought. Used correctly, ground information can shape projects as much as architecture, structures and sustainability, turning risky assumptions into quantified risks that lead to exceptional and accurate scheme design.